

community action proposal presentation evaluation & ranking form:

Rate the teams on a scale of 1-4 (4 being the highest) on the following aspects of their presentation. Remember that this is a TEAM evaluation, so your scores should reflect the culmination of the group's efforts.

reviewed by:

TEAM NAME	SLIDE QUALITY: WRITTEN <ul style="list-style-type: none">• clarity of verbal phrasing• attention to grammatical conventions in typed info• ability to condense information without creating cluttered slides	SLIDE QUALITY: VISUAL, ELECTRONIC <ul style="list-style-type: none">• clarity and consistency of visual, avoiding harsh colors and too much text• attention to contrast, repetition, alignment, and proximity• appeal of visual (easy/hard to read?)• ability to use electronic medium effectively as adjunct to oral delivery	CONTENT & ORGANIZATION: WRITTEN, ORAL, VISUAL <ul style="list-style-type: none">• quality and appropriate scope of information provided• clear sense of beginning, middle, and end to presentation	CLARITY: ORAL <ul style="list-style-type: none">• quality of oral presentation, including transitions between team members	STYLE: NONVERBAL <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ability to convey professional appearance• general comfort level of speakers and knowledge of material• overall sense of "teamliness"	RANKING overall ranking of each proposal (1-4) with 1 being the highest	TOTAL SCORE
ATLANTA ADAPTIVE SOLUTIONS A plan to improve the parking systems at GT	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4		
ATLANTA UNITED CONSULTING A sustainable marketing plan to assist the Animal Welfare Association	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4		
STOK CONSULTING A project to improve the current printing system on campus	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4		
C3 HEALTH SERVICES A proposal to expand services at Stamps Health Center	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4		

