

Questionnaire Assessment Form
GI Therapeutic Endoscopy - 2002/2003
Endoscopy

Questionnaire No. Sex Age

Was the endoscopy Therapeutic Diagnostic If Diagnostic please exclude

Section A – Clinical Care

1. Was the endoscopic procedure appropriate for this patient? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

1a. If No, please specify why, multiple answers may be given:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> A A different endoscopic procedure was indicated. | <input type="checkbox"/> D Futile procedure |
| <input type="checkbox"/> B Surgery in the first instance would have been more appropriate. | <input type="checkbox"/> E Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> C No endoscopic procedure was indicated. | 1b. If Other, please state: |

2. Was the timing of the procedure appropriate in the clinical interest of the patient? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

2a. If No, please specify why:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> A Late, delayed referral | <input type="checkbox"/> D Late, cause unknown |
| <input type="checkbox"/> B Late, inappropriate prolonged resuscitation/optimisation | <input type="checkbox"/> E Early, further preoperative resuscitation/optimisation indicated |
| <input type="checkbox"/> C Late, other – please state: | <input type="checkbox"/> F Early, other, please state: |

(c)

(f)

3. Were appropriate investigations performed pre-endoscopy? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

4. Was the *grade* of the endoscopist performing this procedure appropriate for the condition of the patient? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess Senior endoscopist present

5. Was the *grade* of the endoscopist performing this procedure appropriate for the complexity of the procedure in this case? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess Senior endoscopist present

6. Was the *experience* of the endoscopist performing this procedure appropriate for the condition of the patient? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess Senior endoscopist present

7. Was the *experience* of the endoscopist performing this procedure appropriate for the complexity of the procedure in this case? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess Senior endoscopist present

8. Were two endoscopy assistants present during the procedure? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

9. Was the procedure performed under general anaesthesia? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

10. Was the patient already in receipt of intermittent positive pressure ventilation (e.g. in ICU)? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

11. Were there any deficiencies in monitoring during the procedure (equipment or personnel)? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

11a. If Yes, please specify why:

A No pulse oximetry

B No ECG recording

C No BP recording

D No dedicated person to monitor the patient

E Other, please specify:

(e)

12. Was sedation used? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

12a. If Yes, was the sedation appropriate to the condition of the patient? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

12b. If No, please specify why:

A Excessive opiate

B Excessive benzodiazepine

C Insufficient sedation

D Other, please specify:

(d)

13. Was the patient recovered in a dedicated recovery area? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

13a. If Yes, did dedicated recovery nurses staff the recovery area? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

14. Were there any deficiencies in monitoring during the patient's recovery (equipment or personnel)? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

14b. If Yes, please specify why:

A No pulse oximetry

D No dedicated person to monitor the patient

B No ECG recording

E Other, please state:

C No BP recording

(e) _____

15. Were there any clinical deficiencies, other than those stated above? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

15a. If Yes, please state:

Section B – Organisational Care

16. Were there any delays because of organisation issues? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

16a. If Yes, please specify why:

A Should have been performed as an emergency

D Delayed, for other emergency

B Inappropriate as it was out-of-hours

E Delayed, reason unknown

C Delayed, previous list overrun

F Other, please state:

17. Were there any deficiencies in communication within or between specialties in respect of this case? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

17a. If Yes, please state:

18. Were there any other deficiencies in the organisation of this case? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess

18a. If Yes, please state:

Section C – Quality of data returned

19. Was the tick box information completed satisfactorily? Yes No
20. Was the case summary on page 2 completed? Yes No
21. Were the admission medical notes forwarded? Yes No
22. Were clinical notes relevant to the procedure forwarded? Yes No This may be the admission notes
- 22a. If Yes, were they sufficient to allow assessment of the case? Yes No
23. Was a monitoring chart for the procedure forwarded? Yes No
24. Was an endoscopy report for the procedure forwarded? Yes No
25. Was a discharge summary forwarded? Yes No
26. Do you have any other comments on the quality of the data? Yes No

26a. If Yes, please state:

Section D – Endoscopic Histopathology

27. Was a histopathological examination performed on samples taken at the endoscopy? Yes No Undecided Insufficient information to assess
- 27a. If Yes, was the histopathology report forwarded? Yes No If Yes, please pass the case to the pathologists
28. Was clinical information included on the histopathology report? Yes No
- 28a. If Yes, was this clinical information relevant to the clinical problem? Yes No
29. Are the sites of the biopsy tissue samples correctly indicated on the pathology report? Yes No

30. Are the samples described macroscopically on the pathology report? Yes No
31. Does the histopathology report address/answer the clinical questions posed? Yes No
 Borderline Insufficient information to assess
32. Does the diagnosis line ('bottom line') contain a clear statement of the site(s) evaluated? Yes No
33. Does the diagnosis line ('bottom line') contain a clear statement of the pathology diagnoses or processes? Yes No

Section E - Autopsy

34. Was an autopsy performed? Yes No
- 34a. If Yes, was the autopsy report forwarded? Yes No
- 34b. If Yes, did it explain the clinico pathological circumstances well? Yes No
- 34c. If No, in your opinion, should an autopsy have been performed? Yes No

Section F – Summary Assessment of Care

NCEPOD considers the quality of care, not specifically the cause of death.

35. How would you categorise the quality of care of this patient?

- 1 Good practice – a standard you would accept from yourself, your trainees and your institution.
- 2 Room for improvement – in general this is given when the advisors note two or more aspects of care that could have been better, whether clinical or organisational
- 3 Less than satisfactory – This is a case in which the advisor has serious concerns about the patient care, although recognising that NCEPOD has incomplete information and does not know fully the local circumstances.
- 4 Insufficient information submitted to assess the quality of care.

36. Are there any features that might be quoted in the NCEPOD report? Yes No

36a. If Yes, please state:

Initials Date