SCORING SHEET FOR PAPER PRESENTATIONS

ASEE, Middle Atlantic Section, Fall 2009, ITT King of Prussia

Name of Speaker_____Paper #_____

Name of School_____

Title of Presentation_____

Content	Score (5 is best)
Appropriate Subject Matter	5 4 3 2 1
Personal Contribution	5 4 3 2 1
Knowledge of the Subject (complete)	5 4 3 2 1
Organization	
Introduction (background, objectives, outline)	5 4 3 2 1
Continuity (essential facts, developed logically)	5 4 3 2 1
Conclusion (definite, based on facts)	5 4 3 2 1
Delivery and Effectiveness	
Vocal Delivery (voice volume, enunciation)	5 4 3 2 1
Body Language (eye contact, distracting mannerisms)	5 4 3 2 1
Visual Aids (legible, effective, synchronized)	5 4 3 2 1
Discussion (answers questions)	5 4 3 2 1

Notes:

Total Score:_____

Rank:_____

ASEE National Best Zone Paper
Evaluation Form

Paper Author(s):

Paper #:

Paper Title:

Name of School:

	Circle One **Scale (5=excellent; 1=poor)**				
Quality of Scholarship					
Goals of project are clearly stated	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Review of scholarship is evident and appropriate for topic	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Methodology demonstrates sound practices appropriate to the topic (e.g., data collection, analysis, implementation methods, etc.)	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Results of the project are relevant to others in the discipline or educational realm	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Conclusions are well stated	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Quality of Presentation					
The presentation is easy to understand	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Speaker presents in a confident and enthusiastic style	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Visual aids are clear and effective	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Allocated time is used effectively	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Questions are answered concisely and completely	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
	Total Score (50 max)				

Reviewer's name (optional):