

**Propulsion Control and Diagnostics Research Under NASA  
Aeronautics Research Mission Programs  
November 6-7, 2007  
Ohio Aerospace Institute, Cleveland OH**

## Feedback

(Please leave the completed forms at the registration desk or mail them to: Sanjay Garg,  
NASA Glenn Research Center MS 77-1, 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland OH 44135)

**Name:** (Optional)

**E-mail:** (Optional)

**Organization:**   \_\_\_ Industry           \_\_\_ Academia           \_\_\_ Government

For the questions below, please circle your rating, if requested, on a scale of 1-5 (1-Poor, 2-Average, 3-Good, 4-Very Good, 5-Excellent) and provide any comments.

## Overall Workshop:

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. How successful was the workshop in meeting the objectives: information dissemination, peer feedback, identify opportunities for collaboration? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2. How valuable was the workshop to you?  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. How well was the workshop organized?   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4. How well suited were the facilities for the workshop?  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. What aspect of the workshop did you like the best?   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 6. What improvements would you like to see for such workshops in the future?  |   |   |   |   |   |
| 7. Any additional comments/suggestions?   |   |   |   |   |   |

**IVHM/Gas Path Health Monitoring:**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the research objectives, relevance to NASA programs and research approach? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How well does the research approach address the objectives? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

4. How effective was the breakout session in soliciting your feedback? 1 2 3 4 5

**IRAC/Integrated Propulsion Control and Dynamics:**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the research objectives, relevance to NASA programs and research approach? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How well does the research approach address the objectives? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

4. How effective was the breakout session in soliciting your feedback? 1 2 3 4 5

**MAPSS/CMAPSS (Commercial Modular Aero-Propulsion System Simulation):**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the structure and usage of MAPSS/CMAPSS? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How valuable is NASA's investment in developing such tools? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

**SFW/Distributed Engine Control:**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the research objectives, relevance to NASA programs and research approach? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How well does the research approach address the objectives? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

4. How effective was the breakout session in soliciting your feedback? 1 2 3 4 5

**SFW/Flow control actuation**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the research objectives, relevance to NASA programs and research approach? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How well does the research approach address the objectives? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

**SUP/Active Combustion Control:**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the research objectives, relevance to NASA programs and research approach? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How well does the research approach address the objectives? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

4. How effective was the breakout session in soliciting your feedback? 1 2 3 4 5

**SUP/Integrated Inlet/Engine Control:**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the research objectives, relevance to NASA programs and research approach? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How well does the research approach address the objectives? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

4. How effective was the breakout session in soliciting your feedback? 1 2 3 4 5

**HYP/Modeling and L-IMX inlet control:**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the research objectives, relevance to NASA programs and research approach? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How well does the research approach address the objectives? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

4. How effective was the breakout session in soliciting your feedback? 1 2 3 4 5

**SRW/Variable rotor speed control:**

1. How clear was the presentation in describing the research objectives, relevance to NASA programs and research approach? 1 2 3 4 5

2. How well does the research approach address the objectives? 1 2 3 4 5

3. How well were your questions/issues addressed by the presenters? 1 2 3 4 5

**Additional Comments/Suggestions Specific to any of the topic areas:**